

# EXHIBIT A

# KMK

Keating, Muething & Klekamp PLL

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

JAMES E. BURKE  
DIRECT DIAL: (513) 579-6429  
FACSIMILE: (513) 579-6457  
E-MAIL: JBURKE@KMKLAW.COM

March 17, 2004

Williams & Oliver Court Reporter Services  
6689 Raes Creek Court  
Loveland, OH 45140

Re: Thiemann v. OHSL Financial Corp.; Deposition of Norbert G. Brinker (2/5/04)

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet for the deposition of Norbert G. Brinker.

If you have any questions, please call.

Sincerely yours,

KEATING, MUETHING & KLEKAMP, P.L.L.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

James E. Burke

JEB:jlm

Enclosures

cc: Michael G. Brautigam, Esq.  
John W. Hust, Esq.  
Michael R. Barrett, Esq.  
Thomas W. Breidenstein, Esq.  
Mary-Helen Perry, Esq.  
David C. Greer, Esq.  
James H. Greer, Esq.  
Rachael A. Rowe, Esq.

**To the Reporter:**

I have read the entire transcript of my deposition taken on [REDACTED] day of February, 2004, or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the signature page and authorize you to attach the same to the original transcript.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Correction or change and reason therefore</u>
12	15	"Seventeen years ago" should have been "four years ago." I confused the date of the merger with the date I retired as a full time employee of Oak Hills.
12	19	"Close to seventeen" should have been "more than four." I confused the date of the merger with the date I retired as a full time employee of Oak Hills.
17	17	"Seventeen years ago" should be "more than four years ago." I confused the date of the merger with the date I retired as a full time employee of Oak Hills.
21	3	"Seventeen years ago" should be "more than four years ago." I confused the date of the merger with the date I retired as a full time employee of Oak Hills.
27	3	"Seventeen years ago" should have been "more than four years ago." I confused the date of the merger with the date I retired as a full time employee of Oak Hills.
30	22-24	"Seventeen years ago" should have been "more than four years ago." I confused the date of the merger with the date I retired as a full time employee of Oak Hills. In addition, I misspoke about who our counsel was. Dinsmore & Shohl represented OHSL in the merger transaction. The firm of Keating, Muething & Klekamp did not become involved as counsel for OHSL until after the transaction closed and the litigation regarding the OHSL merger was proceeding. The firm that represented OHSL and the OHSL directors in the merger transaction was Dinsmore & Shohl. Keating, Muething & Klekamp became counsel to OHSL and the OHSL directors during the litigation over the merger. McDonald & Company did not recommend the retention of Keating, Muething & Klekamp. I was confused between the two firms.
34	10	"Seventeen years later" should have been "more than four years later." I confused the date of the merger with the date I retired as a full time employee of Oak Hills.
43	16-20	Dinsmore & Shohl represented OHSL and the OHSL directors in the merger transaction. Keating, Muething & Klekamp became counsel to OHSL and the OHSL directors during the litigation over the merger. McDonald & Company did not recommend the retention of Keating, Muething & Klekamp. I was confused between the two firms.
44	10-13	McDonald & Company did not suggest the retention of Keating, Muething & Klekamp. I was confused between the two firms.
46	19-20	McDonald & Company was not involved in the retention of Keating, Muething & Klekamp. I was confused between the two firms.
48	4-7	McDonald & Company was not involved in the retention of Keating, Muething & Klekamp. I was confused between the two firms.
49	19 through	
50	9	McDonald & Company was not involved in the retention of Keating, Muething & Klekamp. I was confused between the two firms.

Signature: Robert J. Briarfer

Date: 3-17-04

Page 137

1 I don't know that they necessarily were  
2 concealing something.

3 Q. Well --

4 A. If they just weren't doing it the,  
5 the right way, but maybe they didn't know they  
6 weren't doing it the right way.

7 Q. In any event, did whatever  
8 Provident was doing, intentionally or  
9 unintentionally, have an effect on the  
10 OHSL-Provident merger?

11 MR. BURKE: Objection. Asked and  
12 answered at least three times. You may answer.

13 A. At least three times. No, it  
14 wouldn't.

15 THE COURT: That's it. Time.  
16  
17

18 Norbert Brinker

19 NORBERT BRINKER  
20  
21 - - -  
22 (Deposition session concluded at 12:42 p.m.)  
23 - - -  
24